SENIOR REVIEW STATUS

Demonstration of scientific productivity
Promise of future science
Cost effectiveness

The unique scientific return from SOFIA is worth the resources (cost) invested by NASA and DLR
### Senior Review Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deliverables</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposal Outline Final Draft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate Draft (strategy, storyboard, rough drafts of “descriptive” sections)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Proposal Draft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Proposal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation Final Draft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Astronomical Community Input

- SUG
- SNOPAC
- SOFIA USRA Science Council
- Orlando Final Report

### Relevant Conferences

- SOFIA Ringberg Conference
- IAU
- SOFIA Workshop / Cycle 4 Selection
- RFP Received?

### Proposal Actions

- Compliance Matrix 1st Draft Complete*
- Topic Leads (Core Team) Assigned
- All Hands
- Share Point

### Team Activities & Resources

- Blue Team Mtg #1
- Blue Team Mtg #2
- Red Team
- Gold Team
- Senior Review

---

Membership drawn from SOFIA external councils and Ames science management
A Few Questions We’d Like You To Consider...

1. How would you define “impactful” or “compelling” science?

2. What would you consider to be SOFIA’s top 3 most impactful science results to date?

3. What do you anticipate (or would like to see) being SOFIA’s most impactful science results in the next 5 years?

4. What do you think should be the advertised model for SOFIA in the future:
   a. remain as an “open observatory” with science directions completely defined by a peer-reviewed proposal process utilizing the current broad range of wavelength space,
   b. redefine itself as being an observatory aimed at addressing key projects for which the community helps to define,
   c. remain an “open observatory” but limit the science investigations to specific wavelength ranges

5. If you had to come up with a single phrase to put on the bottom of a coffee mug that summed up what SOFIA offers to the scientific community, what would it say? (Is there a common theme that ties together all of the science that SOFIA does, or in your opinion, does SOFIA mostly perform a variety of investigations that are largely unconnected by a common theme but rather stand on their own merits?)

6. Up to this point, has SOFIA performed any kinds of observations that you consider to not be the best use of resources (for example, observations that can be done in a fraction of the exposure time and at better sensitivity with JWST, or science questions that can be answered from ground based telescopes just as effectively)?

7. Do you have any other thoughts about how SOFIA’s science productivity and future promise should be described in the Senior Review that would be particularly compelling, that would highlight SOFIA’s uniqueness, and underscore its ability to substantially advance the field in particular areas?
SMO Senior Review Support

Erick Young
SOFIA Science Center
Productivity

• The most important thing the SMO can do is to successfully execute the science program for 2015
  – Northern flight series
  – Southern Hemisphere Deployment
  – Pluto Occultation
  – Instrument Commissioning
  – Cycle 4 Observation Program
  – Delivering the Data to the Observers
  – Publishing results
  – Making SOFIA known to the wider astronomical community

The team has been doing an outstanding job!
USRA Sponsored Strategic Study

• USRA is sponsoring an independent study to provide input to the overall proposal effort
  – Led by Orlando Figueroa, former NASA Director of Solar System Division, Director of Mar Exploration Division, Deputy Center Director GSFC
    • Ed Weiler, former NASA Associate Administrator for Space Science
    • Judy Pipher, University of Rochester
    • Gary Melnick, Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory
    • Rick Howard, former SOFIA Program Executive
    • Bill Green, former manager IPAC

• Deliverables – Brief Reports
  – Science Themes for 2017 – 2020
  – Innovative approaches to observing program
  – Efficiencies in science operations

• Work of team would be done by end of May

• SOFIA Science Council meets April 30 to also provide input
My Assessment

• We will be challenged in the coming year by the Senior Review
  – Lots of extra work on top of our “Day/Night” jobs

• Opportunity to reassess and focus our efforts

• Most challenging area will be demonstrating “cost effectiveness” relative to other missions

• We have a great story to tell and I’m confident we will do well
External councils

P. Marcum
# Senior Review Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deliverables</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Astronomical Community Input</strong></td>
<td>Proposal Outline Draft #1</td>
<td>Intermediate Draft (strategy, storyboard, rough drafts of “descriptive” sections)</td>
<td>SUG</td>
<td>SNOPAC</td>
<td>USRA SOFIA Science Council</td>
<td>SOFIA Orlando Final Report</td>
<td>IAU</td>
<td>SOFIA Workshop</td>
<td>SOFIA Workshop / Cycle 4 Selection</td>
<td>RFP Received?</td>
<td>Final Proposal</td>
<td>2nd Proposal Review</td>
<td>Presentation Final Draft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relevant Conferences</strong></td>
<td>SOFIA Ringberg Conference</td>
<td>Compliance Matrix 1st Draft Complete*</td>
<td>Topic Leads (Core Team) Assigned</td>
<td>All Hands</td>
<td>Share Point</td>
<td>1st Full Proposal Draft</td>
<td>2nd Proposal Draft</td>
<td>Final Proposal</td>
<td>1st Draft</td>
<td>2nd Draft</td>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td>Senior Review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposal Actions</strong></td>
<td>Gold Team</td>
<td>Blue Team Mtg #1</td>
<td>Blue Team Mtg #2</td>
<td>Red Team</td>
<td>Gold Team</td>
<td>Senior Review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Team Activities &amp; Resources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposal Reviews</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Membership drawn from SOFIA external councils and Ames science management.
SOFIA Organization w/ External Councils

Legend:
- NASA Activity
- NASA Contractor Activity
- DLR/DSI Activity
- Shared or Cross-Cutting Activity
- Program Authority
- Science Oversight/Coordination
- Technical Oversight
- External Boards / Councils

- NASA HQ SRB Reports to HQ
- GSSWG	German SOFIA Science Working Group
- SOFIA International Summit
- SPOT	SOFIA Performance Optimization Team
- SI Development (NASA/DSI/USRA)
- Observatory Improvements (NASA/DSI/USRA)
- Technology Demo (NASA/USRA)